$[Mn(C_{36}H_{44}N_4)(ClO_4)]$

N(2)-Mn-N(4)	170.22 (7)	C(b3)— $C(b4)$ — $C(a4)$	106.9 (2)
N(3)—Mn—N(4)	89.28 (7)	$C(b6) \rightarrow C(b5) \rightarrow C(a5)$	106.9 (2)
N(1)-Mn-O(1)	95.30 (6)	C(b5) - C(b6) - C(a6)	106.8 (2)
N(2)—Mn—O(1)	94.44 (6)	$C(b8) \rightarrow C(b7) \rightarrow C(a7)$	106.6 (2)
N(3)-Mn-O(1)	94.26 (6)	C(b7) - C(b8) - C(a8)	106.8 (2)
N(4)— Mn — $O(1)$	95.34 (6)	$C(a1) \rightarrow C(m1) \rightarrow C(a8)$	125.7 (2)
C(a1)— $N(1)$ — Mn	126.73 (13)	$C(a2) \rightarrow C(m2) \rightarrow C(a3)$	125.0 (2)
C(a2)— $N(1)$ — Mn	127.32 (12)	C(a4) - C(m3) - C(a5)	125.5 (2)
C(a3)-N(2)-Mn	127.44 (13)	C(a6) - C(m4) - C(a7)	125.2 (2)
C(a4)N(2)Mn	127.09 (13)	$C(a1) \rightarrow C(b1) \rightarrow C(11)$	125.0 (2)
C(a5)-N(3)-Mn	127.00 (14)	C(a2)— $C(b2)$ — $C(21)$	124.8 (2)
C(a6)-N(3)-Mn	127.46 (13)	C(a3) - C(b3) - C(31)	125.5 (2)
C(a7)—N(4)—Min	127.45 (13)	C(a4) - C(b4) - C(41)	124.7 (2)
C(a8)N(4)Mn	126.66 (13)	C(a5) - C(b5) - C(51)	124.8 (2)
C(a1)— $N(1)$ — $C(a2)$	105.56 (15)	C(a6) - C(b6) - C(61)	125.3 (2)
C(a3) - N(2) - C(a4)	105.4 (2)	C(a7)— $C(b7)$ — $C(71)$	125.6 (2)
C(a5)— $N(3)$ — $C(a6)$	105.4 (2)	$C(a8) \rightarrow C(b8) \rightarrow C(81)$	125.0 (2)
C(a7) - N(4) - C(a8)	105.3 (2)	$C(b2) \rightarrow C(b1) \rightarrow C(11)$	127.9 (2)
N(1) - C(a1) - C(b1)	110.2 (2)	$C(b1) \rightarrow C(b2) \rightarrow C(21)$	128.4 (2)
N(1)— $C(a2)$ — $C(b2)$	110.4 (2)	C(b4)—C(b3)—C(31)	127.9 (2)
N(2) - C(a3) - C(b3)	110.6 (2)	C(b3)-C(b4)-C(41)	128.4 (2)
N(2) - C(a4) - C(b4)	110.5 (2)	C(b6)—C(b5)—C(51)	128.2 (2)
N(3) - C(a5) - C(b5)	110.4 (2)	C(b5)—C(b6)—C(61)	127.9 (2)
N(3)-C(a6)-C(b6)	110.4 (2)	C(b8)—C(b7)—C(71)	127.7 (2)
N(4) - C(a7) - C(b7)	110.7 (2)	C(b7)—C(b8)—C(81)	128.2 (2)
N(4)-C(a8)-C(b8)	110.7 (2)	C(b1) - C(11) - C(12)	113.6 (2)
N(1) - C(a1) - C(m1)	124.9 (2)	C(b2)C(21)C(22)	111.7 (2)
N(1)— $C(a2)$ — $C(m2)$	125.1 (2)	C(b3)C(31)C(32)	112.9 (2)
N(2)— $C(a3)$ — $C(m2)$	125.0 (2)	C(b4) - C(41) - C(42)	113.2 (2)
N(2)— $C(a4)$ — $C(m3)$	125.2 (2)	C(b5)—C(51)—C(52)	112.9 (2)
N(3) - C(a5) - C(m3)	125.0 (2)	C(b6)—C(61)—C(62)	114.1 (2)
N(3) - C(a6) - C(m4)	125.0 (2)	C(b7)—C(71)—C(72)	112.9 (2)
N(4)— $C(a7)$ — $C(m4)$	124.9 (2)	C(b8)—C(81)—C(82)	113.6 (2)
N(4)— $C(a8)$ — $C(m1)$	125.0 (2)	O(1)-C1-O(2)	106.93 (9)
C(m1)— $C(a1)$ — $C(b1)$	124.9 (2)	O(1)-C1-O(3)	109.49 (11)
C(m2)— $C(a2)$ — $C(b2)$	124.4 (2)	O(1)-ClO(4)	107.67 (10)
C(m2)— $C(a3)$ — $C(b3)$	124.5 (2)	O(2)—C1—O(3)	111.10 (11)
C(m3)— $C(a4)$ — $C(b4)$	124.3 (2)	O(2)—C1—O(4)	110.83 (11)
C(m3)— $C(a5)$ — $C(b5)$	124.5 (2)	O(3)—C1—O(4)	110.68 (12)
C(m4)— $C(a6)$ — $C(b6)$	124.6 (2)	Cl—O(1)—Mn	127.13 (8)
C(m4)— $C(a7)$ — $C(b7)$	124.4 (2)		

Cell-constants determination and intensity-data collection were performed on an Enraf–Nonius FAST area-detector diffractometer with an Mo rotating anode source. Our detailed methods and procedures for small molecule X-ray data collection with the FAST system have been described previously (Scheidt & Turowska-Tyrk, 1994). All H atoms were idealized with the standard methods in *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993) and included in the least-square process as fixed contributors (for methyl H atoms, the methyl group orientations were refined) using a riding model for CH (C—H = 0.95 Å), CH₂ (C—H = 0.99 Å) and CH₃ (C—H = 0.98 Å).

Data collection: *MADNES* (Messerschmitt & Pflugrath, 1987). Cell refinement: *MADNES*. Data reduction: *MADNES*. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL93*. Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL93 CIFTAB*.

We thank the National Institutes of Health for support of this research under grant GM-38401. Funds for the purchase of the FAST area-detector diffractometer were provided through NIH grant RR-06709.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: JZ1011). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

(2) **References**

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Masuda, H., Taga, T., Osaki, K., Sugimoto, H., Yoshida, Z.-I. & Ogoshi, H. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 19, 950–955.
- Messerschmitt, A. & Pflugrath, J. W. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 306-315.
- Scheidt, W. R., Cheng, B., Safo, M. K., Cukiernik, F., Marchon, J.-C. & Debrunner, P. G. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 4420–4421.
- Scheidt, W. R. & Lee, Y. J. (1987). Struct. Bonding, 64, 1-70.
- Scheidt, W. R. & Reed, C. A. (1981). Chem. Rev. 81, 543-555.
- Scheidt, W. R. & Turowska-Tyrk, I. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 1314– 1318.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 828-831

[(R)-1,2-Diethoxycarbonylethyl]bis(dimethylglyoximato)(methyldiphenylphosphine)cobalt(III)

Taro Yamada, Akiko Sekine, Hidehiro Uekusa and Yuji Ohashi*

Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152, Japan

YOSIFUSA ARAI AND YOSHIAKI OHGO

Niigata College of Pharmacy, Kamishin-ei-cho, Niigata 950-21, Japan

(Received 31 May 1994; accepted 2 November 1994)

Abstract

The crystal structure of the title compound, $[Co(C_4H_7-N_2O_2)_2(C_8H_{13}O_4)(C_{13}H_{13}P)]$, has been determined in order to examine whether or not the chiral 1,2-diethoxycarbonylethyl group is racemized on exposure to either visible light or X-rays with retention of the single-crystal form. Although the reaction cavity of the chiral group is considerably large, the chiral group is isolated from the other chiral groups in the structure. The cooperative motion between the chiral groups necessary for the racemization of bulky groups may be impossible in such an isolated environment.

Comment

In the study of crystalline-state racemization of bis(dimethylglyoximato)cobalt(III) complexes, three kinds of chiral group bonded to the Co atom,

namely, 1-cyanoethyl (ce) (Ohashi, 1988), 1-methoxycarbonylethyl (mce) (Kurihara, Ohashi, Sasada & Ohgo, 1983; Kurihara, Uchida, Ohashi, Sasada & Ohgo, 1984) and 1,2-dimethoxycarbonylethyl (dmce) (Sekine *et al.*, 1990; Sakai, Ohashi, Arai & Ohgo, 1992), have been found to be racemized on exposure to X-rays or visible light without degradation of crystallinity. In order to examine a complex having a bulkier chiral group, the cobaloxime complex (I), with 1,2-diethoxycarbonylethyl (dece) and methyldiphenylphosphine groups as axial ligands, has been prepared.



The molecular structure and atom-numbering scheme are shown in Fig. 1. The crystal structure viewed along the c axis is shown in Fig. 2. The L-type conformation of the dece group, in which one of the ester groups is parallel and the other ester group is perpendicular to the dimethylglyoxime plane, is essentially the same



Fig. 1. The molecular structure and atomic numbering scheme for the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. The crystal structure of the title compound viewed along the c axis.

as that of the dmce group except for the two terminal methyl groups. The Co—C bond is slightly longer [2.088 (6) Å] than the corresponding ones in the dmce complexes [2.062 (5)–2.069 (7) Å] (Sakai *et al.*, 1991, 1993). The three angles around the C9 atom, Co—C9—C10, Co—C9—C14 and C10—C9—C14, are in good agreement with the corresponding angles of the dmce complexes, the average values being 114.0, 110.5 and 110.6°, respectively (Sakai *et al.*, 1991, 1993).

The complex was not racemized by X-rays or visible light with retention of the single-crystal form, although the powdered sample was racemized on exposure to a xenon lamp at low reaction rate. The reaction cavity was calculated in the same way as reported previously (Ohashi, Yanagi, Kurihara, Sasada & Ohgo, 1981). The volume of the cavity is 75.8 Å³, which is significantly larger than those of the reactive dmce groups (34–55 Å³), even if the terminal methyl groups are taken into account (Sakai *et al.*, 1993).

There is only one molecule in the asymmetric unit of the $P2_1$ cell. The dece group is surrounded by the phosphine and dimethylglyoxime ligands. Cooperative motion between the reactive groups observed in the mce and dmce complexes seems to be impossible in such an isolated environment. This must be a reason why crystalline-state racemization is not seen in the present crystal.

Experimental

Crystal data

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{Co}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)_2(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_4)- & \text{Mo } K\alpha \text{ radiation} \\ (\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{13}\text{P})] & \lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA} \end{array}$$

$[Co(C_4H_7N_2O_2)_2(C_8H_{13}O_4)(C_{13}H_{13}P)]$

$M_r = 662.55$ Monoclinic $P2_1$ a = 9.593 (3) Å b = 15.915 (2) Å c = 11.427 (2) Å $\beta = 113.890$ (13)° V = 1595.1 (5) Å ³ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.380$ Mg m ⁻³	Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 12.5-15.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.645 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 (2) K Prism $0.55 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$ Dark red	C27 C26 C25 C11 C12 C13 C14 C17 C22 C21 C20 C19 C18	0.6225 (11) 0.6137 (11) 0.6583 (9) 0.3333 (6) 0.2399 (9) 0.3209 (10) 0.2698 (6) 0.9548 (5) 0.9437 (6) 1.0721 (7) 1.2118 (7) 1.2255 (7) 1.0964 (6)	0 0.9731 1.0147 0.9744 0.4077 0.2708 0.1910 0.5890 0.7336 0.7404 0.7358 0.7250 0.7193		0.101 0.098 0.078 0.048 0.082 0.079 0.050 0.038 0.047 0.058 0.047 0.058 0.066 0.072 0.054
Data collection		C29	0.8528 (7)	0.7300	(5) 0.6856 (5)	0.055
Rigaku AFC-7 diffractom- eter	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.56^{\circ}$	C16 C15	-0.0034 (11) 0.0877 (9)	0.5045 0.5755	(7) 0.4203 (10) (6) 0.4671 (9)	0.149 0.106
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 12$	7	Fable 2 Sala	acted acom	atria naramatara (Å 9)
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 20$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 13$	Co1—N4	4	1.870 (4)	P1—C23	A, ⁻) 1.829 (6)
4009 measured reflections 3794 independent reflections 2878 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections intensity decay: 1.7% 	Co1N Co1N Co1N Co1C9 Co1P1 P1C17	1 2 3 9	1.877 (4) 1.883 (4) 1.885 (4) 2.088 (6) 2.325 (2) 1.822 (5)	05-C11 06-C11 08-C14 07-C14 C9-C14 C9-C14 C9-C10	1.185 (7) 1.328 (7) 1.346 (7) 1.198 (7) 1.495 (8) 1.513 (9)
Refinement		P1C29)	1.827 (5)	C10—C11	1.507 (8)
Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.044 $wR(F^2) = 0.098$	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \text{tomic scattering factors}$	N1Co N1Co C14C9 C14C9	1—N2 1—C9 9—C10 9—C01	81.3 (2) 85.5 (2) 112.0 (5) 110.4 (4)	C10C9Co1 C11C10C9 O7C14C9 O8C14C9	114.7 (4) 114.2 (5) 125.8 (6) 111.5 (5)
S = 1.063 3794 reflections 388 parameters	from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C. Tables 4.2.6.8 and	N2Co N3Co N2Co	1	32.4 (3) -15.7 (4) 12.8 (5)	C14—C9—C10—C11 Co1—C9—C10—C11	54.2 (7) 178.9 (4)
H atoms riding on associated atoms $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0518P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	6.1.1.4) Absolute configuration: χ parameter = 0.01 (2) (Flack, 1983)	The di constra Data <i>ware</i> (istances C15 ined in the re- collection: Molecular St	—C16, C2 efinement. MSC/AFC tructure Co	26—C27 and C27— Diffractometer Co	-C28 were ntrol Soft- Cell refine-

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.105$

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	y	Z	Um
Col	0.59135 (7)	0.64604 (4)	0.79090 (6)	0.033
P1	0.7834 (2)	0.7416(1)	0.8119(1)	0.034
01	0.7030 (4)	0.6017 (3)	1.0541 (3)	0.054
02	0.6576 (5)	0.5676 (3)	0.5958 (4)	0.058
04	0.5277 (4)	0.7241 (3)	0.9864 (3)	0.053
O3	0.4681 (5)	0.6835 (3)	0.5241 (3)	0.060
05	0.2409 (6)	0.4169 (3)	0.7868 (5)	0.081
06	0.3434 (6)	0.3391 (3)	0.6815 (5)	0.080
08	0.2335 (5)	0.5553 (3)	0.5675 (4)	0.064
07	0.1873 (5)	0.6365 (4)	0.7072 (5)	0.075
N1	0.7127 (5)	0.5890 (3)	0.9417 (4)	0.039
N2	0.6903 (5)	0.5713 (3)	0.7218 (4)	0.043
N3	0.4692 (5)	0.7035 (3)	0.6397 (4)	0.044
N4	0.4987 (5)	0.7224 (3)	0.8613 (4)	0.039
Cl	0.8102 (6)	0.5346 (4)	0.9335 (6)	0.048
C2	0.7979 (7)	0.5245 (4)	0.8028 (7)	0.051
C5	0.9160 (8)	0.4866 (5)	1.0438 (7)	0.075
C6	0.8964 (9)	0.4692 (5)	0.7646 (8)	0.085
C4	0.4049 (6)	0.7757 (4)	0.7827 (6)	0.046
C3	0.3876 (6)	0.7647 (4)	0.6507 (6)	0.049
C8	0.3228 (7)	0.8430 (4)	0.8238 (8)	0.067
C7	0.2864 (8)	0.8180 (5)	0.5411 (7)	0.085
C9	0.4222 (6)	0.5593 (4)	0.7776 (6)	0.043
C10	0.4547 (6)	0.4700 (4)	0.7506 (6)	0.052
C24	0.7117 (7)	0.8928 (4)	0.9011 (6)	0.054
C23	0.7225 (6)	0.8513 (3)	0.7989 (5)	0.044
C28	0.6773 (8)	0.8908 (5)	0.6822 (7)	0.072

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992a). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduc-

tion: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992b), Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: TEXSAN.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AS1134). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

- Kurihara, T., Ohashi, Y., Sasada, Y. & Ohgo, Y. (1983). Acta Cryst. B39, 243-250.
- Kurihara, T., Uchida, A., Ohashi, Y., Sasada, Y. & Ohgo, Y. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 5718-5724.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992a). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992b). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Ohashi, Y. (1988). Acc. Chem. Res. 21, 268-274.
- Ohashi, Y., Yanagi, K., Kurihara, T., Sasada, Y. & Ohgo, Y. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 5805-5812.
- Sakai, Y., Ohashi, Y., Arai, Y. & Ohgo, Y. (1992). Mol. Cryst. Lig. Cryst. 219, 149-152.

Sakai, Y., Ohashi, Y., Yamanaka, M., Kobayashi, Y., Arai, Y. & Ohgo, Y. (1993). Acta Cryst. B49, 1010-1014.

- Sakai, Y., Tamura, T., Uchida, A., Ohashi, Y., Hasegawa, E., Arai, Y. & Ohgo, Y. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1196-1198.
- Sekine, A., Sakai, Y., Uchida, A., Ohashi, Y., Arai, Y., Ohgo, Y., Kamiya, Y. & Iwasaki, H. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-179.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELX86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

quality (film data, R = 0.097), it was decided to continue with the structure determination in order to obtain more accurate structural parameters.



Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 831-833

A Redetermination of the Crystal Structure of Bis(cyclopentadienyldicarbonylruthenium)

JOEL T. MAGUE

Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118, USA

(Received 10 January 1994; accepted 19 May 1994)

Abstract

The structure of *trans*-di- μ -carbonyl-bis[carbonyl- $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)ruthenium], [{Ru(C₅H₅)CO}₂- $(\mu$ -CO)₂], has been redetermined from diffractometer data leading to considerably more accurate geometric parameters than those obtained in the original determination from film data.

Comment

Recently we have been investigating the use of the $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \operatorname{RuCl} \{\eta^1 - \operatorname{MeN}(PF_2)_2\}_2$ 'metalloligand' (Mague & Lin, 1994) for the directed synthesis of ligand-bridged heterobimetallic complexes. On reacting this species [prepared from CpRuCl(CO)₂ (Eisenstadt, Tannenbaum & Efrati, 1981)] with $RhCl(CO)(PMe_2Ph)_2$, a small quantity of orange crystals was obtained, although little of the desired heterobimetallic complex appeared to have formed according to ³¹P NMR data for the crude reaction mixture. Presuming this to be the product, a structural study was initiated. From the unit cell obtained it was clear that this was not the case and a search of the Cambridge Structural Database showed that the crystals were in fact $[Cp_2Ru_2(CO)_4]$, (1), which is a possible side product in the synthesis of CpRuCl- $(CO)_{2}$, and was evidently present as a contaminant in the sample of the dicarbonyl used to prepare the 'metalloligand'. Noting that the original structure determination for (1) (Mills & Nice, 1967) was of low

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

The quality of the present data set allowed for the refinement of anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-H atoms, as well as the location and refinement of all H atoms. While no substantial differences in the geometric parameters between the two structure determinations were found, an improvement in the e.s.d.'s by a factor of about four was realized, as was a greater uniformity in bond distances between comparable atoms. As a result of the improved accuracy, the apparent differences in the Ru-C(cyclopentadienyl) distances seen in the original determination now appear real, with Ru—C(5) and Ru—C(6) significantly shorter ($\Delta/\sigma =$ 11 and 6, respectively) than the average of the other three Ru-C(cyclopentadienyl) bonds. At first sight, this could be taken to indicate a distortion of the bonding of the cyclopentadienyl group towards the 'allyl-ene' mode, but this is not supported by the C-C distances in the ring, which are equal within experimental error. Presumably it reflects more subtle electronic factors resulting from the low symmetry of the remainder of the coordination sphere. The Ru-Ru distance compares well with those found in a variety of related species, e.g.



Fig. 1. A perspective view of *trans*-[Cp₂Ru₂(CO)₄], (1). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms while H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary size. The primed atoms are related to the unprimed atoms by the crystallographic center of symmetry.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 © 1995